

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/718,703	11/24/2003	Hiroki Ogino	02910.000103	9967		
5514 7.	590 06/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			GLEITZ, I	GLEITZ, RYAN M		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2852	<u> </u>		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,703	OGINO ET AL.	(m)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	W/
	Ryan Gleitz	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timel  THS from the mailing date of this c  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) Objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applority documents have been all (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage
	,		
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a stackable part, classified in class 399, subclass 107.
- II. Claim 10, drawn to a part supplying method, classified in class 29, subclass 464.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus is used to perform a materially different process when it becomes part of an image forming apparatus as shown by Figure 1.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

After Applicant elects either Group I or Group II, a restriction is further required to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - A part having an overlap portion as shown in Figure 25.

Species B - A part having a bearing member as shown in Figure 17.

Species C - A part having an end member for rotatably supporting a developing roller as shown in Figure 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Gary Jacobs on 27 May 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,703 Page 4

Art Unit: 2852

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Gleitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-2134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:00AM and 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\mathcal{C}_{rg}$ 

Arthur T. Grimley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800